

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/747,442 | DAUM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Benjamin C. Lee | 2612 | |

All Participants:

 (1) Benjamin C. Lee.

 (2) Mr. Eric Krischke.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final Rejection.

Claims discussed:

1-15.

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record.
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant that the Final rejection still applies to the proposed After Final amendment due to its broad scope, and discussed with Applicant possible amendment that would overcome the prior art rejection. In response, Applicant authorized the attached Examiner's Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for detail.